

## SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATION PERIOD RESPONSES

### For proposal (1):-

Points raised by an individual strongly in favour of the proposal –

- “huge benefits and no down side”
- “would be ludicrous to miss out on the funds”

### Against proposal (2):-

Points raised by a group of parents and staff “The Friends of St Guthlac School” –

- “not enough effort has been made to market the school”
- “impact on community, house prices”
- “impact of extra journey time both for the pupils and on the environment, as well as the safety issues of additional travelling on minor roads”
- “impact on education being received by current Guthlac pupils”
- “Guthlac pupils would have difficulty in attending after school clubs”
- “loss of personal care at small school where staff know all pupils”
- “cost of new uniforms etc”

Points raised by an individual against the proposal –

- “the retention of secondary education in Crowland is vital”
- “impact on economic viability of the town”
- “majority of respondents are against the proposal”
- “LCC has not recognised the importance of a rural school as a focal point for the community”
- “LCC is concerned with the removal of surplus places and not the importance of rural schools”